

Clinical Medicine Insights: Oncology

A Surgical Case of Inflammatory Myofibroblastic Tumor of the Liver: Potentially Characteristic Gross Features

Journal:	<i>Clinical Medicine Insights: Oncology</i>
Manuscript ID	ONC-18-0037.R1
Manuscript Type:	Case Report
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Watanabe, JIro; National Hospital Organisation Fukuyama Medical Center, Pathology Yamada, Sohsuke; Kanazawa Medical University, Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Sasaguri, Yasuyuki; Fukuoka Tokushukai Medical Center, Pathology Guo, Xin; Kanazawa Medical University, Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Kurose, Nozomu; Kanazawa Medical University, Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Kouji, Kitada; National Hospital Organisation Fukuyama Medical Center, Surgery Inagaki, Masaru; National Hospital Organisation Fukuyama Medical Center, Surgery Iwagaki, Hiromi; National Hospital Organisation Fukuyama Medical Center, Surgery
Keywords:	Liver, Histopathology, Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor (IMT), Gross findings, Extrahepatic
Abstract:	We herein reported a very rare surgical case of inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor (IMT) of the liver, showing potentially unique and specific gross findings on its cut surface: our IMT demonstrated a relatively well-demarcated and partly infiltrative, and likely extrahepatic (i.e., serosal) but not intrahepatic mass, appearing firm and hemorrhagic, and yellow-whitish in color. The patient, who was a woman in her early seventies with 2-year follow-up for lung cryptococcosis and traffic accident, incidentally presented with unenhanced and low-density, heterogenous mass on abdominal dynamic CT in the peripheral right lobe of the liver. We could conclusively diagnose the current lesion as the hepatic IMT, after thorough analyses including a wide panel of immunohistochemical antibodies. Despite that, all clinicians and pathologists should be aware that the potentially characteristic, extrahepatic gross feature of IMT of the liver might also be one of powerful supplementary tools for reaching its correct diagnosis. One of our aim in the presented case report is to emphasize that the hepatic IMT should be considered clinicopathologically in the differential diagnosis of mass lesions on the liver.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Abstract

We herein reported a very rare surgical case of inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor (IMT) of the liver, showing potentially unique and specific gross findings on its cut surface: our IMT demonstrated a relatively well-demarcated and partly infiltrative, and likely extrahepatic (i.e., serosal) but not intrahepatic mass, appearing firm and hemorrhagic, and yellow-whitish in color. The patient, who was a woman in her early seventies with 2-year follow-up for lung cryptococcosis and traffic accident, incidentally presented with unenhanced and low-density, heterogenous mass on abdominal dynamic CT in the peripheral right lobe of the liver. We could conclusively diagnose the current lesion as the hepatic IMT, after thorough analyses including a wide panel of immunohistochemical antibodies. Despite that, all clinicians and pathologists should be aware that the potentially characteristic, extrahepatic gross feature of IMT of the liver might also be one of powerful supplementary tools for reaching its correct diagnosis. **One of our aim in the presented case report is to emphasize that the hepatic IMT should be considered clinicopathologically in the differential diagnosis of mass lesions on the liver.**

Abbreviations

IMT, inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor; CT, computed tomography; HBV, hepatitis type B virus; HCV, hepatitis type C virus; CRP, C-reactive protein; CK, cytokeratin; ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; α -SMA, α -smooth muscle actin.

Introduction

In 1953, Pack and Baker first reported the features of hepatic inflammatory pseudotumor, one of synonyms for inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor (IMT), defined as a benign, non-neoplastic and non-metastasizing mass¹⁻³. However, IMTs are now generally classified as a true neoplasm of intermediate biological potential, due to a locally aggressive behavior, such as an occasional tendency of recurrence, according to the latest World Health Organization (WHO) classification^{1,4}. Indeed, the histopathological findings of IMT typically show a proliferation of myofibroblasts and/or fibroblasts, admixed with collagenized areas and infiltration of chronic inflammatory cells, including polyclonal plasma cells and macrophages¹⁻⁴. More than 300 interesting papers focusing especially on the histopathological and

immunohistochemical features of hepatic IMT cases were published²; by contrast, within our thorough investigation, there have been no detailed description regarding the gross findings reported in the English literature. **Since these tumors actually would not show any specific clinical and macroscopic features, the gross appearance might not help to consider those differential diagnoses.** Despite that, it has been merely stated that the gross morphology of hepatic IMTs is mostly solitary, firm and tan to yellow-whitish in color, and usually intrahepatic¹⁻⁴, however, we partly disagree with that description, especially ‘intrahepatic’. We herein briefly report a very rare surgical case of extrahepatic, not intrahepatic, IMT, revealing potentially characteristic and specific gross features on its cut surface. **One of our aim in the presented case report is to emphasize that the hepatic IMT should be considered clinicopathologically in the differential diagnosis of mass lesions on the liver.**

Case Presentation

The patient, who was a woman in her early seventies with an unremarkable previous medical history, except for 2-year follow-up against lung cryptococcosis and traffic accident, incidentally presented with unenhanced and low-density,

heterogenous and increasing mass on abdominal dynamic CT in the peripheral right lobe of the liver (Figure 1A). Besides, a right rib fracture due to the previous car accident was noted, adjacent to the hepatic mass. Ascites was not recognized. CT scans of the head, chest and abdomen disclosed no definite evidence of metastases in the lymph nodes or other organs. The image in coregistered 2-deoxy-2-[¹⁸F]fluoro-D-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG PET)/CT showed a large and overtly hypermetabolic area in the peripheral right lobe of the liver (maximal standardized uptake value (SUV): 8.17), which corresponded to a unenhanced and low-density, heterogenous and increasing mass on abdominal CT (Fig. 1C). The laboratory data, including the blood cell count, chemistry and tumor marker levels, were within the normal limits, with the exception of mildly elevated CRP (1.64 mg/dL). Neither infection of HBV nor HCV was noted. Based on the clinical findings, the initial diagnosis by the clinicians was most likely hepatocellular carcinoma, and it was not completely excluded out. Therefore, right partial hepatectomy was performed. On gross examination, the cut surface of right hepatic mass (Figure 1B) demonstrated a relatively well-demarcated and partly infiltrative, and likely extrahepatic (i.e., serosal) but not intrahepatic mass, measuring 51 x 32 mm in diameter, which

1
2
3
4 appeared firm and hemorrhagic, and yellow-whitish in color. The background of
5
6
7
8 this liver revealed no remarkable change (Figure 1B). A microscopic examination of
9
10
11 the tumor showed an unencapsulated, partly ill-defined and expansive or infiltrative
12
13
14 mass (Figure 1C), potentially growing from the extrahepatic, serosal side. On a
15
16
17 low-power view, this cancerous mass revealed a solid proliferation of myofibroblast- or
18
19
20 fibroblast-like spindled to oval cells, arranged in fascicles in a small amount of
21
22
23 collagenous stroma, admixed with many inflammatory cells including lymphocytes,
24
25
26 plasma cells, histiocytes, neutrophils and eosinophils (Figure 1D). On a high-power
27
28
29 view, these tumor cells were mildly atypical, having enlarged hyperchromatic nuclei,
30
31
32 prominent nucleoli and rare mitotic figures (Figure 1E). In immunohistochemistry, the
33
34
35 above-mentioned atypical tumor cells were specifically positive for α -SMA (**Dako**
36
37
38 **Cytomation Co., Glostrup, Denmark, diluted 1:500**), (Figure 1F), cytokeratins
39
40
41 including AE1/AE3 (**Dako, diluted 1:1**) (Figure 1F), CK7 (**Dako, diluted 1:150**) and
42
43
44 CK18 (**Dako, diluted 1:100**), whereas negative for Hepatocyte (**Dako, diluted 1:50**),
45
46
47 CK19 (**Dako, diluted 1:100**), c-kit (**Dako, diluted 1:300**), CD56 (**Leica Biosystems,**
48
49
50 **Tokyo, Japan, diluted 1:50**), desmin (**Dako, diluted 1:1**), CA125 (**Dako, diluted**
51
52
53 **1:100**), calretinin (**Nichirei Biosciences Inc., Tokyo, Japan, diluted 1:50**), **CD68**
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(KP-1; Dako, diluted 1:200), IgG4 (The Binding Site, Birmingham , UK, diluted 1:1000), CD23 (Dako, diluted 1:10), CD35 (Dako, diluted 1:25), MDM2 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA, diluted 1:200), CDK4 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, Texas, USA, diluted 1:50) and ALK (Dako, diluted 1:25). All of the immunohistochemical stainings were conducted using the Dako Envision kit (Dako Cytomation Co., Glostrup, Denmark) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Based on all of these features, the final diagnosis was primary hepatic IMT. To date, this patient has been followed for 7 months since surgery, and she remains well without any sign of recurrence.

Discussion

It is very likely that the present case report of a surgical hepatic IMT patient is clinicopathologically remarkable for two reasons at least. First, the possible etiologies in our case might include not only right rib fracture due to the previous car traffic accident, but also lung cryptococcosis. In fact, it has been proposed that a large number of hepatic IMTs could be closely related to various infectious organisms, ranging from certain bacteria to virus, and inflammatory processes, such

1
2
3
4 as trauma, radiotherapy, malignancies, and so on^{2,3,5}. However, since the true
5
6
7 pathogenesis for IMT of the liver remains to be elucidated, our above arguments can
8
9
10 not be proven.

14 Second, very intriguingly, in case of the present gross findings for relatively
15
16 well-demarcated but infiltrative, and unencapsulated mass, very uniquely looking
17
18 extrahepatic (i.e., serosal) but not intrahepatic, and appearing firm and hemorrhagic,
19
20
21 and yellow-whitish in color, we pathologists should consider the very rare
22
23
24 possibility of hepatic IMT. Despite that, in order to reach to the correct diagnosis, a
25
26
27 wide panel of immunohistochemical analyses should be critically performed, as shown
28
29
30 here. In our opinion, it is possible that the macroscopic features of extrahepatic,
31
32
33 serosal tumor might be very specific for IMTs of the liver. Indeed, hepatic IMTs occur
34
35
36 predominantly in the peripheral right lobe, as in the current case, and sometimes in
37
38
39 the peripheral Spiegel lobe and extrahepatic hilar lesion,^{2,3} which can support our
40
41
42 suggestion. Nevertheless, the hepatic IMT should be considered
43
44
45 clinicopathologically in the differential diagnosis of mass lesions on the liver.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 The critical differential diagnoses in the present case included sarcomatoid
55
56
57 carcinoma, myoepithelial carcinoma, follicular dendritic cell sarcoma and
58
59
60

dedifferentiated liposarcoma, despite the fact that it should be relatively easy to rule out these possibilities through various clinicopathologic examinations (i.e., anatomical locations and/or histological cellular atypia) or immunohistochemistry. Nevertheless, since hepatic IMTs are known to potentially show post-operative recurrence or metastasis with various aggressive/infiltrative behaviours¹⁻⁴, it should be raised to alert the surgeons to the careful follow-up and additional treatment, at the very least. This short case report, taken together with the potentially specific findings of cut surface for the hepatic IMT, might promote interest within the scientific community.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we herein reported a very rare surgical case of hepatic IMT, showing potentially characteristic and specific gross findings on their cut surface: it showed a relatively well-demarcated and partly infiltrative, and most likely extrahepatic (i.e., serosal) but not intrahepatic mass. We were finally able to accurately diagnose the current lesions after thorough analyses including an appropriate and wide panel of immunohistochemical antibodies. Despite that, all pathologists should be aware that the potentially characteristic gross features of hepatic IMT might also be one of powerful

1
2
3
4 supplementary tools for reaching its correct, conclusive diagnosis. Furthermore, the
5
6
7 hepatic IMT should be considered clinicopathologically in the differential diagnosis
8
9
10 of mass lesions on the liver.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 **Declarations**

22
23
24 **Ethics approval and consent to participate.**

25
26
27 Not applicable.
28
29
30
31
32

33 34 **Consent for Publication**

35
36
37 Written informed consent was obtained from the patient and her family on admission
38
39
40
41 for the publication of this case report and any accompanying images
42
43
44
45
46

47 48 **Availability of Data and Materials**

49
50
51 The dataset supporting the findings and conclusions of this case report is included
52
53
54 within the article.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Conflicts of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interests.

Authors' contributions

SY and JW participated in conception of the idea and writing of the manuscript. SY, JW, YS, XG, NK, KK MI and HI performed the clinical investigation and pathological/immunohistochemical interpretation of this hepatic IMT case. KK MI and HI performed the surgery. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Fund of National Hospital Organization, Fukuyama Medical Center, Fukuyama, Japan (to JW).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. and Prof. Hiroshi Iwasaki, Department of Pathology, Fukuoka University School of Medicine, 7-45-1 Nanakuma, Jonan-ku, Fukuoka, 814-0180, Japan, for his constructive and helpful suggestions/comments and correct

diagnosis.

For Peer Review

References

1. Miettinen M, Fletcher CDM, Kindblom LG, Zimmermann A, Tsui WMS. Mesenchymal tumours of the liver. *WHO Classification of Tumours of the Digestive System*. Lyon: IARC Press; 2010: 246.

2. Elpek GÖ. Inflammatory Myofibroblastic Tumor of the Liver: A Diagnostic Challenge. *J Clin Transl Hepatol*. 2014; 2: 53–57.

3. Tang L, Lai EC, Cong WM, Li AJ, Fu SY, Pan ZY, Zhou WP, Lau WY, Wu MC. Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor of the liver: a cohort study. *World J Surg*. 2010; 34: 309–313.

4. Kovach SJ, Fischer AC, Katzman PJ, Salloum RM, Ettinghausen SE, Madeb R, Koniaris LG. Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors. *J Surg Oncol*. 2006; 94: 385–391.

5. Sürer E, Bozova S, Gökhan GA, Gürkan A, Elpek GO. Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor of the liver: a case report. *Turk J Gastroenterol*. 2009; 20: 129–134.

Figure Legend

Figure 1. The imaging, gross, microscopic and immunohistochemical examinations of the hepatic IMT. (A) Abdominal dynamic CT shows an unenhanced and low-density, heterogenous and increasing mass (arrows) in the peripheral right lobe of the liver. **(B)** The cut surface of the hepatic IMT case characteristically demonstrates a relatively well-demarcated and partly infiltrative, and likely extrahepatic (i.e., serosal) but not intrahepatic mass, measuring 51 x 32 mm in diameter, which appears firm and hemorrhagic, and yellow-whitish in color. The background of this liver reveals no remarkable change. Bar = 1 cm. **(C)** A microscopic examination of the hepatic IMT case (H&E staining) also shows an unencapsulated, partly ill-defined and expansive or infiltrative mass, potentially growing from the extrahepatic, serosal side. **(D)** On a low-power view (H&E staining), this mass reveals a solid proliferation of myofibroblast- or fibroblast-like spindled to oval cells, arranged in fascicles in a small amount of collagenous stroma, admixed with many inflammatory cells including lymphocytes, plasma cells, histiocytes, neutrophils and eosinophils. **(E)** On a high-power view, these tumor cells are mildly atypical, having enlarged hyperchromatic nuclei, prominent nucleoli and rare mitotic figures. **(F)**

Immunohistochemistry demonstrates that those mildly atypical tumor cells are specifically positive for α -SMA (left) and cytokeratins including AE1/AE3 (right).

For Peer Review

