The differential expression of perilipin-2 in hepatoblastoma and its association

with the prognosis

Running title: perilipin-2 in hepatoblastoma

Sadafumi Azukisawa¹, Jianbo Zheng^{2,3*}, Xin Guo^{2,4}, Hiroki Ura^{5,6}, Yo Niida^{5,6}, Tohru

Itoh¹, Sohsuke Yamada^{2,4}

¹Department of Gastroenterological Endoscopy, Kanazawa Medical University Hospital, Ishikawa, 920-0293, Japan.

²Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Kanazawa Medical University, Ishikawa, 920-0293, Japan.

³Department of Pediatrics, Wuhan Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, 430022, China.

⁴Department of Pathology, Kanazawa Medical University Hospital, Ishikawa, 920-0293, Japan.

⁵Center for Clinical Genomics, Kanazawa Medical University Hospital, Ishikawa, 920-0923, Japan.

⁶Division of Genomic Medicine, Department of Advanced Medicine, Medical

Research Institute, Kanazawa Medical University, Ishikawa 920-0923, Japan.

*Corresponding author

Address correspondence to: Jianbo Zheng (JB.Z.); jianbo@kanazawa-med.ac.jp, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Kanazawa Medical University, Ishikawa, 920-0293, Japan and Department of Pediatrics, Wuhan Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, 430022, China.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in association with the present study.

Abstract

Perilipin-2, a lipid droplet (LD) coating protein, has been found to be involved in cancer progression. However, its role in hepatoblastoma (HB) is undefined. We collected 87 HB samples and the corresponding clinical data. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining was performed to detect perilipin-2 and the association of the perilipin-2 expression with clinical characteristics and the prognosis was analyzed. The expression of perilipin-2 was increased in fetal HB components in comparison to embryonal HB components. The predominant staining pattern was vesicular in fetal HB cells, while it was granular in embryonal HB cells. Furthermore, the strong expression of perilipin-2 was associated with the histopathological type of fetal predominant HB. Although event-free survival (EFS) did not differ to a statistically significant extent between the strong and weak expression groups in a univariate survival analysis, a multivariate survival analysis revealed thethat EFS was significantly improved in the strong perilipin-2 expression group. In conclusion, perilipin-2 is differentially expressed in HB and the strong expression of perilipin-2 predicts a better prognosis.

Key words: perilipin-2, hepatoblastoma, differential expression, prognosis

Introduction

Perilipin-2, also known as adipophilin or adipose differentiation-related protein (ADRP), is a lipid droplet (LD)-associated protein which coats the neutral lipid core and regulates the lipid metabolism in many cells. It is ubiquitously expressed and specifically targets the LD surface (1-4). Due to the close association with LD, it has been proposed that perilipin-2 can be adopted as a general marker for LD in nonadipogenic cells (5, 6). As the most richrichest perilipin expression is in liver (7, 8), the perilipin-2 expression is directly correlated with the number and size of hepatic LDs (9-11) and thus has been viewed as a reliable marker of hepatic LDs (12). In the human liver under physiological conditions, hepatocytes were found to be weakly positive for perilipin-2 because LDs were few in number and small in size (13). In comparison, the expression of perilipin-2 was obviously upregulated and strong in hepatocyte steatosis (6, 7, 13), regardless of whether the condition was due to alcoholic or non-alcoholic fatty liver. It has been widely accepted that LD plays important functions in multiple cancer hallmarks (14) and perilipin-2, a LD-coating protein, is associated with cancer progression. In breast cancer, the elevated expression of perilipin-2 was associated with tumor malignancy (15, 16) and a poor prognosis (17, 18). In lung adenocarcinoma, perilipin-2-positive staining was linked to tumor progression (19) and predicted shortened survival (19, 20). This similar relationship was also revealed in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (21). In contrast, the increased expression of perilipin-2 in clear cell renal cell carcinoma was associated with decreased tumor invasion and a better prognosis (22-24). As a lipid metabolism-regulating and LD marker protein in the liver, it is reasonable to hypothesize that perilipin-2 is involved in liver cancer.

Hepatoblastoma (HB) is the predominant liver cancer in young children (25-27), with an annual incidence of approximately 1/1000000 (28, 29). Although the occurrence of HB is rare, it ranks 3rd among abdominal malignancies in children and the incidence is increasing year-by-year (27, 29, 30). HB tissues are mainly composed of four histological components: fetal, embryonal, small-cell undifferentiated/SCU, and mesenchymal components (31), with different formulations in individual HB specimens. Fetal and embryonal components are the two most common components in HB; mesenchymal components are contained in 20-30% of HB tissues, while SCU components are found in relatively few HB samples (31). From SCU to fetal HB, the tumor cells in different epithelial HB components resemble certain hepatocyte precursors and recapitulate different stages of liver development (31-34). It has been widely accepted that the failure of hepatic progenitor cells to properly differentiate into hepatocytes results in HB (35, 36). Although event-free survival (EFS) in standard-risk or classical HB groups is >80% within 3 years (37), it is decreased to around 60% in high-risk or aggressive HB (38, 39). The search for novel markers to assist in the diagnosis and better predict the prognosis is still ongoing. In our recent study about HB, we found that peroxiredoxin-4 (PRDX4), an antioxidant that regulated lipid metabolism under oxidative stress in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (40) and atherosclerosis (41), was associated with the progression of HB (42). As a lipid metabolism-associated protein, perilipin-2 may mediate PRDX4's regulation of lipid

metabolism and thus be involved in the progression of HB because of the pervasive alteration of the lipid metabolism in cancer.

In the present study, we examined the expression of perilipin-2 in HB by IHC staining. The relationship between the expression of perilipin-2 and clinical characteristics, especially the prognosis, was analyzed.

Materials and Methods

Human HB samples

A total of 87 HB specimens and their associated clinical data were collected from 5 medical centers in Japan. Samples were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded. Informed consent for inclusion in the present study was obtained from the patients or their guardians. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kanazawa Medical University (NO. I367). Among the 87 HB cases, most of them (82/87, 94.25%) received the cisplatin-based chemotherapy and the specimens were collected after the initial chemotherapy; only 5 samples were collected without initial chemotherapy.

Perilipin-2 IHC staining

Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded sections (thickness: 3 µm) were used for staining. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was first conducted to determine the pathological pattern of HB tumor tissues and the representative section that contained the greatest variety of HB components was selected for perilipin-2 IHC staining. The whole process was conducted using a Leica Bond-Max autostainer (Leica, Buffalo Grove, USA) and a Bond Polymer Refine Detection kit. After deparaffinization and

rehydration, tissue sections went through a heat-induced (121) epitope retrieval process in Bond Epitope Retrieval Solution 1 (Citrate-based pH 6.0 epitope retrieval buffer) for 20 minutes. AP125 (Progen Biotechnik, Heidelberg, Germany), diluted to 1:100, was used as the primary antibody for perilipin-2. Signals were visualized by Dako REAL EnVision detection system and Peroxidase/DAB+ (Dako Cytomation), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The images of H&E staining and perilipin-2 IHC staining were captured by the NanoZoomer Digital Pathology Virtual Slide Viewer software program (Hamamatsu Photonics Corp., Hamamatsu, Japan).

Evaluation of perilipin-2 IHC staining

For each specimen, the histological components and their relative proportions were evaluated at first by H&E staining. Five representative high-power field images for each ingredient were selected for evaluation of perilipin-2 IHC staining. The final immunoreactivity score for each specimen was calculated by combining each ingredient's score and its relative proportion. All histological and IHC staining slides were evaluated by two independent pathologists (Akihiro Shioya and Xin Guo) in the Department of Pathology. The histological classification, immunoreactivity score (the proportion of positive cells compared to the total HB cells), and staining pattern (vesicular or granular) of each perilipin-2 IHC staining slide were evaluated carefully and independently using a blind protocol design (pathologists blinded to the clinicopathological data). Agreement was initially reached between them in 75% of all cases. Another 20% reached a consensus after discussion. For the remaining disagreement (5%), a third board-certified pathologist (Sohsuke Yamada) in our department joined the discussion and the consensus was finally reached in these cases. Definition of weak and strong expression of perilipin-2 in survival analysis

To clarify the relationship between perilipin-2 expression and prognosis (EFS), receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was produced between them. The maximal Youden's index was reached when the cut-off value was 12.5% for perilipin-2 expression. Based on this cut-off point, patients were divided into strong (\geq 12.5%) and weak (<12.5%) expression groups during survival analysis.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Comparisons were performed using a two-tailed independent Welch's *t*-test or a nonparametric statistical analysis. A paired-samples *t*-test was used for the analysis of paired data. Categorical data were compared by using either Pearson's chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test. A Kaplan-Meier analysis was used as a univariate survival analysis and a multivariate analysis was performed using Cox regression. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software package, version 16.0. Two-sided *P* values of <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

The clinical characteristics of HB cases and their perilipin-2 expression

Eighty-seven HB samples and their corresponding clinical information were collected. Males outnumbered females and children of <3 years of age accounted for most of the cases. In the study population, 25.93% of cases were classified as pretreatment extent of disease stage IV (PRETEXT IV), while metastasis was detected in 26.44% of cases. Only two cases showed serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) levels of <1000 ng/ml at the time of the diagnosis. Recurrence was detected in 22.35% of the total cases within 5 vears after the diagnosis, while the mortality rate decreased to 7.06% in the same period. Regarding the histological findings, fetal and embryonal HB components were the two most common components, being detected in 79 of 87 (90.80%) and 41 of 87 (47.13%) samples respectively. An SCU component was found in only 2 HB samples. With respect to the perilipin-2 expression, the staining score (%) ranged from 0 to 90. The mean was 15.11% and the median was 7%. Positive staining patterns were mainly classified as vesicular and granular. Representative images of perilipin-2 staining in different HB components are presented in Figure 1. The pPerilipin-2 expression was compared between groups categorized by clinical characteristics. The perilipin-2 expression did not differ to a statistically significant extent between the groups, with the exception of the different histological patterns. The pPerilipin-2 expression was increased in HB samples of the fetal predominant pattern, which was defined by the fetal component accounting for >60% of the tumor area. The clinical characteristics of HB cases and their perilipin-2 expression are detailed in Table 1. The differential expression of perilipin-2 in fetal and embryonal HB components

We compared the perilipin-2 expression in fetal and embryonal components as they are the two most common HB components. An analysis showed that the perilipin-2 expression (%) was significantly higher in fetal HB components than in embryonal components (fetal vs. embryonal: 18.76 ± 20.74 vs. 5.41 ± 6.92 , p<0.001) (Figure 2).

As 37 HB cases in our study contained both fetal and embryonal components (mixed fetal and embryonal HB), a paired-samples *t*-test was employed for the comparison of these HB cases. The result also revealed that the expression of perilipin-2 (%) was significantly increased in the fetal components (fetal vs. embryonal: 14.70 ± 17.68 vs. embryonal 5.68 ± 7.20 , p<0.01). An example of mixed fetal and embryonal HB and their perilipin-2 expression is shown in **Figure 3**. Furthermore, the perilipin-2 staining pattern in these two components was obviously different. In the fetal components, vesicular staining was the predominant staining pattern (55/79, 69.62%). In comparison, the most common staining pattern was granular-staining and only a small proportion (7/41, 17.07%) of the embryonal components showed vesicular-staining

(Figure 2 and Figure 3).

Correlation of the perilipin-2 expression with the HB prognosis

Although the histological pattern of SCU and initial AFP <100 ng/ml are two risk factors for the prognosis of HB (31, 43), the number of these cases in our study population was very small (SCU, 2 cases; AFP<100 ng/ml, 0 cases). We excluded these cases in a survival analysis for the sake of convenience and a total of 85 cases were finally included. They were then divided into the perilipin-2 strong (n=33) and weak (n=52) expression groups based on the cut-off value (12.50%) that offered the best predictive value for the prognosis (EFS) in the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The clinical characteristics were compared between these two groups and we found that a histopathological pattern of fetal predominant HB was more common in the perilipin-2 strong expression group than in the weak expression group

(strong expression vs. weak expression: 25/33, 75.76% vs. 29/52, 55.77%; p=0.062) (**Table 2**). Although the incidence of metastasis was increased in the strong expression group, the subsequent multivariate logistic regression analysis denied their correlation (**Table 3**). Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the perilipin-2 expression of HB cases with and without metastasis (Table 1). Univariate Kaplan-Meier analysis for event free survival (EFS) revealed that the perilipin-2 strong expression group seemed to have a better prognosis in comparison to the weak expression group; however, the difference was not statistically significant (**Figure 4A**). Further multivariate Cox regression analysis verified that the strong expression of perilipin-2 was positively correlated with better EFS (**Figure 4B**), while the presence of metastasis was the most powerful factor for predicting a poor prognosis (**Table 4**). We could not conduct an overall survival (OS) analysis because of the very small number of deaths in our study population (n=6 in all 87 HB cases).

Discussion

Perilipin-2, an intracytoplasmic lipid droplet coating protein, has been found to be involved in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Macrovesicular LDs were reported to be associated with decreased recurrence *in vivo* (44), while the overexpression of perilipin-2 enhanced tumor cell proliferation *in vitro* (45). However, the role of perilipin-2 in HB is still not well-defined. Although *perilipin-2* gene expression has been found to be decreased in HB (extract data from GEO database, shown in **supplementary materials**), the relationship between perilipin-2 expression and diagnosis or prognosis is still unclear. In the present study, we demonstrated that

perilipin-2 was differentially expressed in fetal and embryonal HB. In detail, the expression of perilipin-2 was elevated in the fetal component in comparison to the embryonal component. Furthermore, the predominant perilipin-2 staining pattern was vesicular in fetal HB, while it was mainly granular in embryonal HB. The differential expression of perilipin-2 in these two most common HB components makes it a useful marker for the histopathological diagnosis of the epithelial subtypes of HB. With respect to the prognosis, we found that the strong expression of perilipin-2 was associated with a better prognosis in a multivariate analysis. No correlation was found between the perilipin-2 expression and clinical features including metastasis, advanced tumor stage (PRETEXT IV), and tumor size. In summary, strong and vesicular-staining pattern of perilipin-2 can differentiate fetal from embryonal HB. Patients with this characteristic are more often diagnosed as fetal HB and associated with a better prognosis. In the absence of other risk factors, physicians could consider de-escalating the treatment and prolonging follow-up interval in these patients.

Two hypotheses may support the findings of our study. The first is that the expression of perilipin-2 is associated with tumor cell differentiation. In lung adenocarcinoma, perilipin-2 positive staining was associated with the poorly differentiated cancer type (19). In contrast, the high expression of perilipin-2 was linked to better tumor differentiation in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (23). Another study found that increased plasma perilipin-2 indicated better tumor differentiation in colorectal cancer (46). In our study, the expression of perilipin-2 was enhanced in better-differentiated HB (fetal HB) in comparison to less-differentiated HB (embryonal HB). Furthermore,

the expression of perilipin-2 in these two HB components exhibited different patterns. Our findings strengthened the hypothesis that perilipin-2 was associated with tumor differentiation and the better differentiated histological type in the perilipin-2 high expression group may have been responsible for the improved prognosis. The other hypothesis that may explain our findings is that perilipin-2 plays a role in the regulation of autophagy (47). It has been demonstrated that perilipin-2 regulates autophagy in the liver. The overexpression of perilipin-2 protects against autophagy, whereas perilipin-2 deficiency enhances autophagy and inhibits severe endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced hepatocyte apoptosis (48). In our study, the high expression of perilipin-2 may inhibit HB tumor cell autophagy. This dysregulation of autophagy may cause the enhancement of tumor apoptosis and finally result in a better prognosis. As a reliable protein marker for LDs, the staining of perilipin-2 visualizes LD and reflects lipid accumulation. Increased intracellular LDs have been observed in many cancers (49). However, the role of increased LDs in tumor cells is unclear. Whether it is a lipid reservoir to better sustain cancer cell survival in the tumor microenvironment, where there is a relative shortage of oxygen and nutrients, or a marker of autophagy dysfunction is still debatable. In breast cancer, lung adenocarcinoma, and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, the accumulation of LDs may support tumor progression by supplementing unsaturated fatty acids instead of potentially toxic saturated lipids. In clear cell renal cell carcinoma and HB, the accumulation of LDs may reflect autophagy dysfunction. Tumor cells with increased LDs may be more susceptible to apoptosis under the stressful environment caused by

high proliferation or chemotherapy. Furthermore, the pattern of LD accumulation may also define its role in cancer. Granular LDs may represent a lipid reservoir that promotes tumor development. In contrast, vesicular LDs-especially macrovesicular LDs-may reflect autophagy dysfunction. One previous study revealed that macrovesicular steatosis was associated with a better prognosis in HCC (44). In combination with our study in HB, it may be reasonable to hypothesize that both the amount and pattern of LDs can determine the role of LD accumulation in cancer. The findings of the present study may explain the diverse role of PRDX4 (an antioxidative enzyme) in HB, which we reported in a previous study (42). In embryonal HB, the oxidative stress-induced overexpression of PRDX4 may lead to the accumulation of granular LDs, which promote tumor migration via the supplementation of unsaturated fatty acids. In contrast, the overexpression of PRDX4 in fetal HB may accompany the accumulation of macrovesicular LDs in well-differentiated fetal HB and represent autophagy dysfunction. The positive correlation between the PRDX4 and perilipin-2 expression may support this hypothesis (correlation coefficient=0.46, p<0.01, unpublished data).

In conclusion, perilipin-2 is differentially expressed in HB and the strong expression of perilipin-2 predicts a better prognosis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research 19K16783 to X.G., 20K07454 to S.Y. from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science

and Technology, Tokyo, Japan; by a grant from the Hokkoku Cancer Foundation 2020 (to S.Y.); and by a Grant for Promoted Research from Kanazawa Medical University (S2018-6) (to X.G.). S.A. collected samples, analyzed the data, and prepared the manuscript. JB.Z. conceptualized, carried out experiments, analyzed data, prepared and revised the manuscript. X.G. conceptualized study, carried out experiments, and collected data. T.I. and S.Y. conceptualized and designed the experiments.

We thank Hideaki Okajima and Miyuki Kohno (Department of Pediatric Surgery, Kanazawa Medical University), Takako Yoshioka and Kimikazu Matsumoto (Departments of Pathology, Pediatric Hematology and Oncology Research, National Center for Child Health and Development), Satoshi Ieiri (Department of Pediatric Surgery, Research Field in Medical and Health Sciences, Medical and Dental Area, Research and Education Assembly, Kagoshima University), Hironori Kusano (Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, Kurume University), and Takeru Oyama (Department of Molecular and Cellular Pathology, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kanazawa University), for collecting and preparing from surgical specimens of patients with HB.

We would like to thank Prof. Akihide Tanimoto (Department of Pathology, Kagoshima University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences) and Prof. Hirohisa Yano (Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, Kurume University) for their support; we thank Akihiro Shioya, Yuka Hiramatsu, and Manabu Yamashita (Department of Pathology, Kanazawa Medical University Hospital) for their expert technical assistance; we also thank the patients for taking part in this study.

References

1. Brasaemle DL. Thematic review series: adipocyte biology. The perilipin family of structural lipid droplet proteins: stabilization of lipid droplets and control of lipolysis. J Lipid Res. 2007 Dec;48(12):2547-59.

2. Kimmel AR, Brasaemle DL, McAndrews-Hill M, Sztalryd C, Londos C. Adoption of PERILIPIN as a unifying nomenclature for the mammalian PAT-family of intracellular lipid storage droplet proteins. J Lipid Res. 2010 Mar;51(3):468-71.

3. Vigouroux C, Caron-Debarle M, Le Dour C, Magre J, Capeau J. Molecular mechanisms of human lipodystrophies: from adipocyte lipid droplet to oxidative stress and lipotoxicity. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2011 Jun;43(6):862-76.

 Sztalryd C, Brasaemle DL. The perilipin family of lipid droplet proteins: Gatekeepers of intracellular lipolysis. Biochim Biophys Acta, Mol Cell Biol Lipids. 2017 Oct;1862(10 Pt B):1221-32.

5. Heid HW, Moll R, Schwetlick I, Rackwitz HR, Keenan TW. Adipophilin is a specific marker of lipid accumulation in diverse cell types and diseases. Cell Tissue Res. 1998 Nov;294(2):309-21.

6. Straub BK, Gyoengyoesi B, Koenig M, Hashani M, Pawella LM, Herpel E, et al. Adipophilin/perilipin-2 as a lipid droplet-specific marker for metabolically active cells and diseases associated with metabolic dysregulation. Histopathology. 2013 Mar;62(4):617-31.

7. Motomura W, Inoue M, Ohtake T, Takahashi N, Nagamine M, Tanno S, et al.

Up-regulation of ADRP in fatty liver in human and liver steatosis in mice fed with high fat diet. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2006 Feb 24;340(4):1111-8.

 Itabe H, Yamaguchi T, Nimura S, Sasabe N. Perilipins: a diversity of intracellular lipid droplet proteins. Lipids Health Dis. 2017 Apr 28;16(1):83.

9. Mak KM, Ren C, Ponomarenko A, Cao Q, Lieber CS. Adipose differentiationrelated protein is a reliable lipid droplet marker in alcoholic fatty liver of rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2008 Apr;32(4):683-9.

10. McVicker BL, Rasineni K, Tuma DJ, McNiven MA, Casey CA. Lipid droplet accumulation and impaired fat efflux in polarized hepatic cells: consequences of ethanol metabolism. Int J Hepatol. 2012;2012:978136.

 Natarajan SK, Rasineni K, Ganesan M, Feng D, McVicker BL, McNiven MA, et
 al. Structure, Function and Metabolism of Hepatic and Adipose Tissue Lipid Droplets: Implications in Alcoholic Liver Disease. Curr Mol Pharmacol. 2017;10(3):237-48.

Carr RM, Ahima RS. Pathophysiology of lipid droplet proteins in liver diseases.
 Exp Cell Res. 2016;340(2):187-92.

13. Straub BK, Stoeffel P, Heid H, Zimbelmann R, Schirmacher P. Differential pattern of lipid droplet-associated proteins and de novo perilipin expression in hepatocyte steatogenesis. Hepatology. 2008 Jun;47(6):1936-46.

14. Cruz ALS, Barreto EA, Fazolini NPB, Viola JPB, Bozza PT. Lipid droplets: platforms with multiple functions in cancer hallmarks. Cell Death Dis. 2020 Feb 6;11(2):105.

15. Kuniyoshi S, Miki Y, Sasaki A, Iwabuchi E, Ono K, Onodera Y, et al. The

significance of lipid accumulation in breast carcinoma cells through perilipin 2 and its clinicopathological significance. Pathol Int. 2019 Aug;69(8):463-71.

16. Pizato N, Kiffer L, Luzete BC, Assumpcao JAF, Correa LH, Melo HAB, et al. Omega 3-DHA and Delta-Tocotrienol Modulate Lipid Droplet Biogenesis and Lipophagy in Breast Cancer Cells: the Impact in Cancer Aggressiveness. Nutrients. 2019 May 28;11(6).

17. Jung YY, Lee YK, Koo JS. Expression of lipid metabolism-related proteins in breast phyllodes tumors. Neoplasma. 2016;63(2):254-62.

18. Tagliabue E, Yoshikawa K, Ishida M, Yanai H, Tsuta K, Sekimoto M, et al. Adipophilin expression is an independent marker for poor prognosis of patients with triple-negative breast cancer: An immunohistochemical study. Plos One. 2020;15(11):e0242563.

19. Fujimoto M, Yoshizawa A, Sumiyoshi S, Sonobe M, Menju T, Hirata M, et al. Adipophilin expression in lung adenocarcinoma is associated with apocrine-like features and poor clinical prognosis: an immunohistochemical study of 328 cases. Histopathology. 2017;70(2):232-41.

20. Shin SA, Na HY, Choe JY, Chung D, Park M, Oh S, et al. The Expression of Adipophilin Is Frequently Found in Solid Subtype Adenocarcinoma and Is Associated with Adverse Outcomes in Lung Adenocarcinoma. Journal of Pathology and Translational Medicine. 2018;52(6):357-62.

21. Hashimoto Y, Ishida M, Ryota H, Yamamoto T, Kosaka H, Hirooka S, et al. Adipophilin expression is an indicator of poor prognosis in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: An immunohistochemical analysis. Pancreatology. 2019;19(3):443-8.

22. Yao M, Tabuchi H, Nagashima Y, Baba M, Nakaigawa N, Ishiguro H, et al. Gene expression analysis of renal carcinoma: adipose differentiation-related protein as a potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for clear-cell renal carcinoma. The Journal of Pathology. 2005;205(3):377-87.

23. Yao M, Huang Y, Shioi K, Hattori K, Murakami T, Nakaigawa N, et al.
Expression of Adipose Differentiation-Related Protein: A Predictor of Cancer-Specific Survival in Clear Cell Renal Carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13(1):152-60.
24. Cao Q, Ruan H, Wang K, Song Z, Bao L, Xu T, et al. Overexpression of PLIN2 is a prognostic marker and attenuates tumor progression in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Int J Oncol. 2018.

25. Trobaugh-Lotrario AD, Meyers RL, O'Neill AF, Feusner JH. Unresectable hepatoblastoma: current perspectives. Hepat Med. 2017;9:1-6.

26. von Schweinitz D. Hepatoblastoma: recent developments in research and treatment. Semin Pediatr Surg. 2012 Feb;21(1):21-30.

27. Spector LG, Birch J. The epidemiology of hepatoblastoma. Pediatr Blood Cancer.2012 Nov;59(5):776-9.

Ross JA, Gurney JG. Hepatoblastoma incidence in the United States from 1973 to
 Med Pediatr Oncol. 1998 Mar;30(3):141-2.

29. Feng J, Polychronidis G, Heger U, Frongia G, Mehrabi A, Hoffmann K. Incidence trends and survival prediction of hepatoblastoma in children: a population-

based study. Cancer Commun (Lond). 2019 Oct 24;39(1):62.

30. Kasper HU, Longerich T, Stippel DL, Kern MA, Drebber U, Schirmacher P.Mixed hepatoblastoma in an adult. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2005 Feb;129(2):234-7.

31. Lopez-Terrada D, Alaggio R, de Davila MT, Czauderna P, Hiyama E, Katzenstein H, et al. Towards an international pediatric liver tumor consensus classification: proceedings of the Los Angeles COG liver tumors symposium. Mod Pathol. 2014 Mar;27(3):472-91.

32. Rowland JM. Hepatoblastoma: assessment of criteria for histologic classification.Med Pediatr Oncol. 2002 Nov;39(5):478-83.

33. Soini T, Eloranta K, Pihlajoki M, Kyronlahti A, Akinrinade O, Andersson N, et al. Transcription factor GATA4 associates with mesenchymal-like gene expression in human hepatoblastoma cells. Tumour Biol. 2018 Jul;40(7):1010428318785498.

34. Cairo S, Armengol C, De Reynies A, Wei Y, Thomas E, Renard CA, et al. Hepatic stem-like phenotype and interplay of Wnt/beta-catenin and Myc signaling in aggressive childhood liver cancer. Cancer Cell. 2008 Dec 9;14(6):471-84.

35. Valanejad L, Lewis K, Wright M, Jiang Y, D'Souza A, Karns R, et al. FXR-Gankyrin axis is involved in development of pediatric liver cancer. Carcinogenesis. 2017 Jul 1;38(7):738-47.

36. Cast A, Valanejad L, Wright M, Nguyen P, Gupta A, Zhu L, et al. C/EBPalphadependent preneoplastic tumor foci are the origin of hepatocellular carcinoma and aggressive pediatric liver cancer. Hepatology. 2018 May;67(5):1857-71.

37. Perilongo G, Maibach R, Shafford E, Brugieres L, Brock P, Morland B, et al.

Cisplatin versus cisplatin plus doxorubicin for standard-risk hepatoblastoma. N Engl J Med. 2009 Oct 22;361(17):1662-70.

38. Perilongo G, Shafford E, Maibach R, Aronson D, Brugieres L, Brock P, et al. Risk-adapted treatment for childhood hepatoblastoma. final report of the second study of the International Society of Paediatric Oncology--SIOPEL 2. Eur J Cancer. 2004 Feb;40(3):411-21.

39. Zsiros J, Brugieres L, Brock P, Roebuck D, Maibach R, Zimmermann A, et al. Dose-dense cisplatin-based chemotherapy and surgery for children with high-risk hepatoblastoma (SIOPEL-4): a prospective, single-arm, feasibility study. Lancet Oncol. 2013 Aug;14(9):834-42.

40. Nabeshima A, Yamada S, Guo X, Tanimoto A, Wang K-Y, Shimajiri S, et al. Peroxiredoxin 4 Protects Against Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis and Type 2 Diabetes in a Nongenetic Mouse Model. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2013;19(17):1983-98.

41. Guo X, Yamada S, Tanimoto A, Ding Y, Wang K-Y, Shimajiri S, et al. Overexpression of Peroxiredoxin 4 Attenuates Atherosclerosis in Apolipoprotein E Knockout Mice. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2012;17(10):1362-75.

42. Zheng J, Guo X, Shioya A, Yoshioka T, Matsumoto K, Hiraki T, et al. Peroxiredoxin 4 promotes embryonal hepatoblastoma cell migration but induces fetal cell differentiation. Am J Transl Res. 2020;12(6):2726-37.

43. Meyers RL, Maibach R, Hiyama E, Haberle B, Krailo M, Rangaswami A, et al. Risk-stratified staging in paediatric hepatoblastoma: a unified analysis from the Children's Hepatic tumors International Collaboration. Lancet Oncol. 2017 Jan;18(1):122-31.

44. Kubota N, Ojima H, Hatano M, Yamazaki K, Masugi Y, Tsujikawa H, et al. Clinicopathological features of hepatocellular carcinoma with fatty change: Tumors with macrovesicular steatosis have better prognosis and aberrant expression patterns of perilipin and adipophilin. Pathol Int. 2020 Apr;70(4):199-209.

45. Straub BK. Lipidtropfen-assoziierte Proteine. Der Pathologe. 2015;36(S2):146-52.

46. Matsubara J, Honda K, Ono M, Sekine S, Tanaka Y, Kobayashi M, et al. Identification of Adipophilin as a Potential Plasma Biomarker for Colorectal Cancer Using Label-Free Quantitative Mass Spectrometry and Protein Microarray. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2011;20(10):2195-203.

47. Sztalryd C, Brasaemle DL. The perilipin family of lipid droplet proteins: Gatekeepers of intracellular lipolysis. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids. 2017;1862(10):1221-32.

48. Tsai T-H, Chen E, Li L, Saha P, Lee H-J, Huang L-S, et al. The constitutive lipid droplet protein PLIN2 regulates autophagy in liver. Autophagy. 2017;13(7):1130-44.

49. Cruz ALS, Barreto EdA, Fazolini NPB, Viola JPB, Bozza PT. Lipid droplets: platforms with multiple functions in cancer hallmarks. Cell Death Dis. 2020;11(2).

Tables

Clinical characteristics	Study population n (%)	Perilipin-2	р
Sex			0.36
Female	29 (33.33%)	12.34±13.19	
Male	58 (66.67%)	16.50±22.37	
Age (years)			0.17
0-3	66 (75.86%)	12.85±17.15	
3-7	15 (17.24%)	22.73±22.92	
≥8	6 (6.90%)	15.11±19.80	
Tumor diameter (cm)			0.42
<10	34 (40.00%)	13.21±17.01	
≥10	51 (60.00%)	16.76±21.77	
Serum AFP (ng/ml)			0.59
≤1000	2 (2.38%)	1.00±0.00	
1001-1000000	62 (73.81%)	15.90±22.00	
>1000000	20 (23.81%)	15.65±13.35	
PRETEXT stage			0.75
I-III	60 (74.07%)	15.40±22.43	
IV	21 (25.93%)	14.19±11.42	
Metastasis			0.23
Absent	64 (73.56%)	13.55±19.41	
Present	23 (26.44%)	19.48±20.67	
VPEFR			0.68
Absent	32 (42.11%)	17.38±23.93	
Present	44 (57.89%)	15.41±17.95	

 Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients with hepatoblastoma (n=87)

Histological category			0.01**
Predominant fetal	54 (62.07%)	19.43±22.56	
Others	33 (37.93%)	8.06±11.32	
Recurrence within 5 years			0.80
Absent	66 (77.65%)	15.05±17.42	
Present	19 (22.35%)	16.37±27.64	
Death within 5 years			0.88
Absent	79 (92.94%)	15.43±20.30	
Present	6 (7.06%)	14.17±16.30	

AFP: alpha-fetoprotein; PRETEXT: pretreatment extent of disease; VPEFR: involvement of Vena cava or involvement of Portal vein or contiguous Extrahepatic intra-abdominal tumor extension or multi-Focal liver tumor or tumor Rupture at diagnosis; HB: hepatoblastoma. $**p \le 0.01$.

Table 2. The clinical characteristics of the perilipin-2 strong and weak expression

groups (n=85)

 Perilipin-2 weak	Perilipin-2 strong	p value
expression	expression	
(n=52)	(n=33)	

EFS (5-year)	37/50 (74.00%)	27/33 (81.82%)	0.407
OS (5-year)	47/50 (94.00%)	31/33 (93.94%)	0.991
Metastasis	9/52 (17.31%)	13/33(39.39%)	0.023*
PRETEXT Stage IV	10/48 (20.83%)	10/31 (32.26%)	0.254
Age (years)			0.451
0-3	41/52 (78.85%)	23/33 (69.70%)	
3-7	7/52 (13.46%)	8/33 (24.24%)	
≥8	4/52 (7.69%)	2/33 (6.06%)	
Male sex	34/52 (65.38%)	23/33 (69.70%)	0.680
Serum AFP (ng/ml)	$6.48*10^5 \pm 7.74*10^5$	$6.30*10^5 \pm 7.24*10^5$	0.724
Tumor size (cm)	10.18±3.30	11.39±3.18	0.104
Histological category			0.062
Predominant fetal	29/52 (55.77%)	25/33 (75.76%)	
Others	23/52 (44.23%)	8/33 (24.24%)	

EFS: event-free survival; OS: overall survival; PRETEXT: pretreatment extent of disease; AFP: alpha-fetoprotein. * p<0.05.

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis of factors associated with metastasis in HB

Risk factor	Hazard Ratio	95%CI	р
Age (years)	1.12	0.92-1.36	0.265
Male sex	1.67	0.38-7.28	0.494
Tumor diameter (cm)	0.99	0.82-1.20	0.959
PRETEXT stage IV	14.99	3.88-57.95	<0.001***

patients

Perilipin2 strong expression	2.80	0.76-10.33	0.121

HB: hepatoblastoma; CI: confidence interval; PRETEXT: pretreatment extent of disease; *** p<0.001.

Table 4. Cox regression analysis of factors associated with event-free survival in HB

Risk factor	Hazard ratio	95%CI	p
Strong perilipin-2 expression	0.30	0.10-0.90	0.031*
Metastasis	10.06	2.95-34.28	<0.001***
PRETEXT IV	0.85	0.26-2.80	0.793
Predominant fetal	1.79	0.69-4.62	0.233

patients

HB: hepatoblastoma; CI: confidence interval; PRETEXT: pretreatment extent of

disease; * p<0.05, *** p<0.001.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Representative microscopic views of hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and perilipin-2 immunohistochemical staining in different hepatoblastoma (HB) components. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections (thickness: $3-5 \mu m$) were used for staining, Bar=100 μm . SCU, small-cell undifferentiated.

Figure 2. The differential expression of perilipin-2 in fetal and embryonal hepatoblastoma (HB). Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections (thickness: $3-5 \mu m$) were used for staining, Bar=50 μm . Data are shown as the mean \pm SD, Welch's *t*-test

was used for the analysis. H&E, hematoxylin and eosin staining; ***p<0.001.

Figure 3. Comparison of the perilipin-2 expression levels in mixed fetal and embryonal hepatoblastoma (HB). Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections (thickness: 3-5 μ m) were used for staining, Bar=250 μ m. Data are shown as the mean ± SD, a paired-samples *t*-test was used for the analysis. H&E, hematoxylin and eosin staining; **p<0.01.

Figure 4. Correlation of the perilipin-2 expression with event-free survival (EFS). A: Kaplan–Meier survival curve of hepatoblastoma (HB) patients with the strong and weak expression of perilipin-2 (cut-off value=12.5%). B: The Cox regression curve of HB patients with the strong and weak expression of perilipin-2 (cut-off value=12.5%). The analyses were conducted using the SPSS 16.0 software package; p-values were calculated using the log-rank test.

Supplementary materials. Differential expression of genes between hepatoblastoma tissues and normal liver tissues (Data were extracted from GEO database, NCBI).